

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/095,365	06/10/1998	TAKESHI KAKINUMA	163852016000	. 9569
25227 75	90 04/03/2003			•
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			GRAY, LINDA LAMEY	
MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			- 1734	

DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Single of the state of the stat	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/095,365	KAKINUMA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Linda L Gray	1734	
Th MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith th correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is requi- final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	red to avoid abandonment of thi either: (1) a timely filed amendm of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	
PERIOD F	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the maili b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set in later than SIX MONTHS from the mailingly WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH. The date on which the petition under 37d of extension and the corresponding amount of the state	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee out of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof	•	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	tered because:		
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would requi	re further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	e Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the appliance issues for appeal; and/or	ication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying t	
(d) they present additional claims without NOTE:	canceling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ng rejection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendmer	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ recapplication in condition for allowance beca		en considered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideraised by the Examiner in the final rejection		OLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as t	follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:	,	1 2 44 A	
Claim(s) objected to:		·	
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is a) □ approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	
		No(e)	
9: Note the attached Information Disclosure_S	tatement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	140(3)	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to "and/or" and the "marker and sheet feeder" in the claims, the claim should be amended to remove "and/or" such that the pair of feeding means are positively claimed as being in contact under the given condition and that the pair of feeding means are positively claimed as being separate from each other under the given condition.

LINDA GRAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER